arXiv:1407.2902v1 [math.GR] 10 Jul 2014

Irreducible representations of a family of groups of maximal nilpotency class I: the non-exceptional case

Shannon Ezzat

July 11, 2014

Abstract

We use a constructive method to obtain all but finitely many p-local representation zeta functions of a family M_n of finitely generated nilpotent groups with maximal nilpotency class. For representation dimensions coprime to all primes $p < n$, we construct all irreducible representations of M_n by defining a standard form for the matrices of these representations and, after taking into account twisting and isomorphism, count these twist isoclasses to obtain our p-local zeta functions.

1 Introduction

Representation growth is a fairly recent area in group theory where one studies (usually infinite) groups by studying the sequence of the number of (sometimes equivalence classes of) irreducible complex representations of degree n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. More formally, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $r_n(G)$ be the number of irreducible representations of degree n. If all $r_n(G)$ are finite, we can study this sequence by embedding them as coefficients in a zeta function.

In this paper, we deal with the case of a family of finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups. Henceforth, we call finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups $\mathcal{T}$-groups. If G is a $\mathcal{T}$-group, it is known that all $r_n(G)$ are infinite. However, we can redefine $r_n(G)$ as the number of irreducible representations "up to twisting".

More formally, let G be a $\mathcal{T}$-group. Let χ be a 1-dimensional complex representation and ρ an n-dimensional complex representation of G. We define the product $\chi \otimes \rho$ to be a *twist* of ρ. Two representations ρ and ρ_* are *twist-equivalent* if for some 1-dimensional representation χ, we have that $\chi \otimes \rho \cong \rho_*$. This twist-equivalence is an equivalence relation on the set of irreducible representations of G. In [11] Lubotzky and Magid call the equivalence classes *twist isoclasses*. We say S_ρ, the twist isoclass containing and irreducible representation ρ, is of dimension n if and only if ρ is an n-dimensional representation. They also show that there are only finitely many irreducible n-dimensional complex representations up to twisting and that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite quotient $G(n)$ of G such that each n-dimensional irreducible representation ρ of G is twist-equivalent to one that factors through $G(n)$. Henceforth we call the n-dimensional complex representations of G simply representations. We denote

the number of twist isoclasses of irreducible representations of dimension n by $r_n(G)$ or r_n if no confusion will arise.

We now discuss embedding the sequence (r_n) as coefficients of a zeta function. Consider the formal expression

$$\zeta_G^{irr}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n(G) n^{-s}.$$

If $\zeta_G^{irr}(s)$ converges for a right half plane of $\mathbb{C}$, say D, where $D := \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(s) > \alpha\}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we call $\zeta_G^{irr} : D \to \mathbb{C}$ the (global) *representation zeta function* of G. For any $\mathcal{T}$-group such a D always exists [14, Lemma 2.1]. We call $\alpha_G := \alpha$ the *abscissa of convergence* of $\zeta_G^{irr}(s)$. Let $\zeta_{G,p}^{irr}(s)$, where

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{irr}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{p^n}(G) p^{-ns},$$

be the *p-local representation zeta functions* of $\zeta_G^{irr}(s)$. Considering the domain D of $\zeta_{G,p}^{irr}(s)$ as above, we say $\alpha_{G,p} := \alpha_p$ is the *p-local abscissa of convergence* of $\zeta_{G,p}^{irr}(s)$.

We know, by [11, Theorem 6.6] that in each twist isoclass there exists a representation ρ such that ρ factors through a finite quotient. Since G is nilpotent, its finite quotients are nilpotent and therefore decompose as a direct product of their Sylow-p subgroups. Since the irreducible representations of direct products of finite groups are the tensor products of irreducible representations of their factors, its representation zeta function decomposes into an Eulerian product of its p-local representation zeta functions and therefore $\zeta_G^{irr}(s) = \prod_p \zeta_{G,p}^{irr}(s)$. Moreover, it was shown by Hrushovski and Martin [9] that these p-local representation zeta functions are rational functions in p^{-s}.

Let $M_n = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n, b \mid [a_i, b] = a_{i+1} \rangle$. Following the conventions of other papers in this area, all commutators that do not appear in (or follow from) the relations are trivial. In this paper, we discuss the irreducible representations and the representation zeta functions of this family of groups. Additionally, we refer often to certain subgroups of M_n. It is clear that for $2 \leq k < n$ the group M_k is isomorphic to a subgroup of M_n. With a slight abuse of notation we let the subgroup $M_k = \langle a_{n-k+1}, a_{n-k+2}, \ldots, a_n, b \rangle$.

2 Related Results

The idea of using zeta functions to study representation growth was introduced in [16], in which Witten studies compact Lie groups. Later, representation zeta functions were studied in [12], where Lubotzky and Martin use representation zeta functions to study arithmetic groups, and in [10] where Jaikin develops a method for calculating the representation zeta functions of compact p-adic analytic groups with property FAb. This method uses Howe's work [8] on the Kirillov orbit method and the concept of p-adic integration to calculate the zeta functions.

Representation zeta functions of $\mathcal{T}$-groups were first studied by Hrushovski and Martin in [9] using model-theoretic methods. The study of representation growth of $\mathcal{T}$-groups was expanded by Voll in [15]. In that paper, Voll develops a

method for calculating p-local representation zeta functions for a given $\mathcal{T}$-group G. This method, like the method that appears in [10], involves Howe's work in [8]. Note that a large part of the method that appears in [15] is also used in that paper to study other types of algebraic growth, including subgroup and subring growth. Stasinski and Voll, in [14], generalize Voll's work in [15] to $\mathcal{T}$-groups coming from unipotent group schemes. The authors also generalize the functional equation that appears in [15].

Representation zeta functions have been used to study other classes of groups. We briefly mention some work done in these areas. In [2] Avni et al. study compact p-adic analytic groups and arithmetic groups. In [3], Avni et al. study representations of arithmetic lattices and prove a conjecture by Larsen and Lubotzky. In [1] Avni shows that arithmetic groups have representation growth with rational abscissa of convergence. Bartholdi and de la Harpe, in [4], study representation zeta functions of wreath products with finite groups. Craven, in [5], gives lower bounds for representation growth for profinite and pro-p groups.

3 Layout of Paper

We employ a constructive method, first used in [7], to calculate the irreducible representations and representation zeta functions of this family of groups. The constructive method allows us to calculate the p-local representation zeta functions of M_n when p is an exceptional prime. Informally, we say a prime p is exceptional if p appears as a denominator in the equations that determine the possible eigenvalues of the linear operators of our representation. We will formally define these primes later.

Indeed, the family M_n is a good choice of a family of $\mathcal{T}$-groups to study using the constructive method for a number of reasons. The relatively simple eigenspace structure of the irreducible representations, due to the large abelian subgroup inside, allows us to construct all of the irreducible representations of each M_n for all but finitely many prime-power dimensions. Additionally, for small n, it is tenable to construct all of the irreducible representations for the exceptional-prime-degree representations as well. This gives us all of the irreducible representation theory (and thus the global representation zeta functions) for M_2, M_3, and M_4. Also, it gives us an infinite number of examples of exceptional p-local zeta functions of groups of "almost arbitrary" nilpotency class; that is, given a number c, there is a prime q such that $q+1 > c$ and the q-local representation zeta function of M_{q+1} is known. Furthermore, together with the results of the calculations of the 2-local, and 2-local and 3-local representation zeta functions of M_3 and M_4, respectively, we have two examples of global representation zeta functions of $\mathcal{T}$-groups of nilpotency class greater than 2. To the author's knowledge, these are the only two examples in the literature. For results involving p-local representation zeta functions of exceptional primes, see the sequel to this paper [6].

This paper describes the construction of the irreducible representations of the maximal class group of nilpotency class n, denoted M_n. This is achieved by calculating the irreducible representations of p-power dimension. The large abelian subgroup allows us to simultaneously diagonalize all but one element of the images of the generators and reflected by this fact is the relatively simple eigenspace structure of the irreducible representations. We note that the

calculation is uniform for most primes, in fact primes p not less than the nilpotency class n; denominators that appear in the matrices of the representation are smaller than the prime considered and therefore behave as units mod p. When the prime considered is smaller than n, the calculation loses its uniformity and the structure of the matrices of the representation differs from the non-exceptional cases; again, these will be dealt with in this paper's sequel.

4 Preliminaries

First, we introduce an important lemma that gives us much information about the eigenspace structure of representations of certain nicely behaved $\mathcal{T}$-groups, including M_n. Before this lemma, we give a definition regarding eigenspaces of a set of linear operators.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a set of linear operators of a vector space V. If a subspace $W \subseteq V$ is an eigenspace of each $L \in \mathcal{L}$ then we say that W is a *mutual eigenspace* of $\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 4.2. *For some set of $\alpha_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $G := \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots b_m \mid [a_i, b_j] = A_{i,j} \rangle$, where $A_{i,j} := \prod_{k=i+1}^{n} a_k^{\alpha_{j,k}}$, be a $\mathcal{T}$-group and let $\rho(G)$ be an irreducible p^N-dimensional representation of G. Also, let $\rho(a_i) = x_i$, $\rho(b_j) = y_j$, and $X_{i,j} := \rho(A_{i,j})$ for all $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$. Define $X := \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, and $Y := \{y_1 \ldots y_m\}$. Then the mutual eigenspaces of X are one-dimensional and there are p^N distinct mutual eigenspaces.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} := \{E_1, \ldots, E_t\}$ be the set of mutual eigenspaces of X. We will show that if $\mathbf{v}$ is an eigenvector of E_{j_1} then for any $y \in Y$ the vector $y\mathbf{v}$ is an eigenvector of E_{j_2} for some $j_1, j_2 \leq t$. We then show that Y acts transitively on $\mathcal{E}$ and that all $E_j \in \mathcal{E}$ are of the same dimension, in fact a p-power. Finally, we show that each E_j is one-dimensional, and $t = p^N$.

It is clear, by definition, that x_n commutes with all $y \in Y$. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and let $\mathbf{v} \in E$. For a given $y_j \in Y$, consider $x_n y_j \mathbf{v}$. Since x_n is central we have that

$$x_n y_j \mathbf{v} = \lambda_{n,j} y_j \mathbf{v} \tag{1}$$

for some $\lambda_{n,j} \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

For all $k < n$, let λ_k be such that $\lambda_k \mathbf{v} = x_k \mathbf{v}$. Now, as an induction, we choose $i < n$ and assume that, for each $h > i$, $y_j \mathbf{v}$ is an eigenvector of each x_h, with eigenvalue $\lambda_{h,j}$. Then

$$\lambda_{i-1} y_j \mathbf{v} = y_j \lambda_{i-1} \mathbf{v} = y_j x_{i-1} \mathbf{v} = X_{j,i-1} x_{i-1} y_j \mathbf{v} = x_{i-1} X_{j,i-1} y_j \mathbf{v} = x_{i-1} \lambda' y_j \mathbf{v} \tag{2}$$

for some $\lambda' \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Note that the third equality is by the group relations and the final equality is by the inductive hypothesis. Thus $y_j \mathbf{v}$ is an eigenvector of x_{i-1} with eigenvalue $\lambda_{i-1}(\lambda')^{-1}$. This induction tells us that for any mutual eigenvector $\mathbf{v}$ of X that, for any $y \in Y$, $y\mathbf{v}$ is also a mutual eigenvector.

Let $\mathbf{v_1}, \mathbf{v_2} \in E$. For some x_i and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ let

$$x_i y_j \mathbf{v_1} = \lambda_1 y_j \mathbf{v_1} \text{ and } x_i y_j \mathbf{v_2} = \lambda_2 y_j \mathbf{v_2}. \tag{3}$$

It is clear that $\mathbf{v_1} + \mathbf{v_2} \in E$. Now consider

$$x_i y_j (\mathbf{v_1} + \mathbf{v_2}) = x_i y_j \mathbf{v_1} + x_i y_j \mathbf{v_2} = \lambda_1 y_j \mathbf{v_1} + \lambda_2 y_j \mathbf{v_2}. \tag{4}$$

Since $y_j(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)$ must be an eigenvector of x_i we have that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and $y_j \cdot E = E_j$ for some $E_j \in \mathcal{E}$. Since y_j is invertible it preserves dimension and $\dim(E_{j_1}) = \dim(E_{j_2})$ for $j_1, j_2 \leq t$. Also, since y_j was arbitrary and ρ is irreducible it must be that $\text{span}(\langle Y \rangle \cdot E)$ must be the entire space $\mathbb{C}^{p^N}$ and thus Y must act transitively on $\mathcal{E}$. It follows, by counting, that $t = p^r$ and, for $j \leq t$, we have that $\dim(E_j) = p^s$ for r, s such that $r + s = N$.

Let $B = \langle b_1, \ldots, b_m \rangle$. For an eigenspace $E \in \mathcal{E}$ let $S = \text{Stab}_B(E)$, and let $Y_* := \rho(S)$. Let $W \subseteq E$ be a S-stable subspace. Let $H = \langle S, a_1 \ldots, a_n \rangle$ and let $\eta : H \to GL_{p^s}(E)$ be the restriction of ρ to H. Since E is a mutual eigenspace of the each a_i it is clear that $\eta(a_i) = k_i I$ for some scalars k_i and thus the η-stable subspaces are the S-stable subspaces. Consider the B-orbit of W, say O. Since ρ is irreducible then $\dim(O) = p^N$ and since W is S-stable it must be that $W = E$. Thus E has no proper stable subspaces and η is irreducible. By [11] we have that η factors through a finite quotient up to twisting. By assumption and Schur's Lemma, since S is abelian, each $y_* \in Y_*$ must be a scalar matrix. Thus, since η is irreducible, $\dim(E) = 1$. It then follows that all mutual eigenspaces of X are 1-dimensional and, since $\langle Y \rangle$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{E}$, we have that $|\mathcal{E}| = p^N$. □

We now introduce some notation for complex roots of unity.

Definition 4.3. Let S_p^∞ be the all complex p^ℓth roots of unity for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and S_p^k be the p^kth roots of unity (and note that $S_p^k \backslash S_p^{k-1}$ are the primitive p^kth roots of unity). Define $s : S_p^\infty \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $s(\lambda) = k$ if and only if $\lambda \in S_p^k \backslash S_p^{k-1}$. If $s(\lambda) = k$ we say that λ has *depth* k.

The calculation of the irreducible representations of M_n will involve generalizations of triangle numbers, namely k-simplex numbers. Let $T_0(0) = 1$, $T_0(j) = 1$, and $T_j(0) = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and recursively define $T_k(j) = \sum_{l=1}^{j} T_{k-1}(l) = T_k(j-1) + T_{k-1}(j)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The next lemma lists some properties of these numbers that are needed. We state these without proof.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $i, j, k, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_k(j)$ be defined as above.*

i. $T_k(i) = \binom{i+k-1}{k} = \frac{i(i+1)\ldots(i+k-1)}{k!}$.

ii. $T_k(i) - T_k(j) = (i-j)\frac{\gamma}{k!}$ *for some* $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$.

iii. Let $p > k$. Then for any $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and α such that $1 \leq \alpha \leq p-1$ we have $T_k(\alpha p^b + j) = T_k(j) \mod p^b$.

iv. $T_k(j+1) = T_k(j) + T_{k-1}(j) + \ldots + T_0(j)$.

v. $T_k(i+j) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} T_l(i) T_{k-l}(j)$.

vi. If $p > k$ then $T_k(p^N - 1) = 0 \mod p^N$.

As a corollary of (iii) we have the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Let p be a prime, let $k < p$, let $N \geq 1$, let $1 \leq m \leq N$, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \nmid \alpha$, and, for $j \geq 0$, let*

$$\Gamma(k, j) = \alpha p^m T_k(j-1). \tag{5}$$

Then we have that $\Gamma(k, \beta p^{N-m} + j + 1) = \Gamma(k, j+1) \mod p^N$ *for all β such that $1 \leq \beta < p^m$ and all j such that $0 \leq j \leq p^{N-m} - 1$.*

Proof. Consider $\Gamma(k, \beta p^{N-m} + j + 1)$. We have that

$$\Gamma(k, \beta p^{N-m} + j + 1) = \alpha p^m \frac{(\beta p^{N-m} + j) \dots (\beta p^{N-m} + (j + k - 1))}{k!} \mod p^N \tag{6}$$

By Lemma 4.4(iii), and noting that $p^m(p^{N-m}) = 0 \mod p^N$ we have that only the term with no factor of p^{N-m} survives mod p^N; that is,

$$\alpha p^m (\beta p^{N-m} + j) \dots (\beta p^{N-m} + (j + k - 1)) = \alpha p^m j(j + 1) \dots (j + k - 1) \tag{7}$$

and thus $\Gamma(k, \beta p^{N-m} + j + 1) = \Gamma(k, j + 1)$. □

5 Representation Structure and Standard Form

We now calculate the p-local representation zeta function of each M_n, which we denote $\zeta^{irr}_{M_n,p}(s)$, by explicitly constructing representatives of each twist isoclass. Let ρ be a p^N-dimensional irreducible representation of M_n and let $x_i = \rho(a_i)$ and $y = \rho(b)$.

In this section we will choose a basis for the image of ρ such that y is in the form of a p^N-cycle permutation matrix and such that each x_i is diagonal with each diagonal entry in a certain form, discussed later in the section. It is not necessary to state a basis to understand the eigenspace structure of ρ. However, as a canonical basis is easy to determine in this case, we appeal to a basis as an indexing device on the set of mutual eigenspaces of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

We begin by considering twisting. Since $x_2, \dots, x_n$ are commutators they are invariant under twisting. We can twist y and x_1 by any complex number. We remind the reader that we can obtain every p^N-power irreducible representation of M_n by twisting a representative ρ from each twist isoclass.

Since all x_i commute they are all simultaneously diagonalizable. By [11, Theorem 6.6] all irreducible representations factor through a finite quotient (up to twist equivalence) and thus by Schur's lemma the central element x_n is a scalar matrix.

By the group presentation of M_n it is clear we can apply Lemma 4.2. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then we know the mutual eigenspaces of X are 1-dimensional and that there are p^N distinct mutual eigenspaces. Also, we have that $\langle y \rangle$ must permute the eigenspaces of X transitively. Thus, y must act as a p^N-cycle on the mutual eigenspaces of X. We choose our basis, with basis vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_{p^N}\}$ such that the x_i are diagonal and

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & k \\ 1 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{C}^*$. By cofactor expansion of $y - \lambda I$, we have that the characteristic equation is $\lambda^{p^N} = k$, and thus the eigenvalues of y are all of the p^Nth roots of k. However, we have the freedom to twist y by $k^{\frac{-1}{p^N}}$ and therefore we can ensure the eigenvalues of y are all of the p^Nth roots of unity. We can choose a representative

of our twist isoclass such that y is a true p^N-cycle permutation matrix under some choice of basis, or equivalently, we can ensure that $k = 1$.

We set up some notation. Let $\lambda_{i,j}$ be the jth entry on the diagonal of x_i. We let $x_n = \lambda_n I$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i,1}$. Note that $\lambda_{n,j} = \lambda_n$ for all j. By twisting we can ensure that $\lambda_1 = 1$. It will be shown that the $\lambda_{i,j}$, and thus ρ, are determined by the λ_ℓ, for all ℓ such that $i \leq \ell \leq n$.

We now determine the structure of the matrices x_i and the allowable values for the λ_i. The next lemma is the base case for the inductive lemma following it. Although we could start the induction with x_n, this case is trivial. For purposes of elucidation, we start this induction with x_{n-1}. Note that this lemma is true for all primes.

Lemma 5.1. *The matrix x_{n-1} has the form*

$$x_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{n-1} & & & \\ & \lambda_n\lambda_{n-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n^{p^N-1}\lambda_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, for any prime p, we have that λ_n is a p^Nth root of unity; that is $s(\lambda_n) \leq N$.

Proof. Since by our group relations $[x_{n-1}, y] = x_n = \lambda_n$ we have that $\lambda_{n-1,j+1} = \lambda_n\lambda_{n-1,j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, p^N - 1$ and $\lambda_{n-1,1} = \lambda_n\lambda_{n-1,p^N}$. Combining these equations we have that $\lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n^{p^N}\lambda_{n-1}$ and therefore $\lambda_n \in S_p^N$. □

We remind readers of Lemma 4.4 for properties of numbers $T_k(i)$. We define these numbers in the paragraph directly before Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 5.2. *For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ we have that $\lambda_{i,j} = \prod_{k=i}^n \lambda_k^{T_{k-i}(j-1)}$ and thus the matrix x_i has the structure*

$$x_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & & & \\ & \prod_{k=i}^n \lambda_k^{T_{k-i}(1)} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \prod_{k=i}^n \lambda_k^{T_{k-i}(p^N-1)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Moreover we have that

$$\lambda_i^{p^N} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n} \lambda_k^{T_{k-i}(p^N-1)} = 1. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Assume

$$x_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & & & \\ & \prod_{k=i}^n \lambda_k^{T_{k-i}(1)} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \prod_{k=i}^n \lambda_k^{T_{k-i}(p^N-1)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

for some i. By the group relation $[x_{i-1}, y] = x_i$ we have, for some $j \leq p^N - 1$, that

$$\lambda_{i-1,j+1} = \lambda_{i,j+1}\lambda_{i-1,j} = \left(\prod_{k=i}^{n} \lambda_k^{T_{k-i}(j)}\right) \lambda_{i-1,j} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\lambda_{i-1,1} = \lambda_i \lambda_{i-1,p^N}. \tag{14}$$

Combining the above equations for each j, we have that

$$\lambda_{i-1,j+1} = \lambda_{i-1} \prod_{k=i}^{n} \lambda_k^{\sum_{l=1}^{j} T_{k-i}(l)} = \lambda_{i-1} \prod_{k=i}^{n} \lambda_k^{T_{k-i+1}(j)} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\lambda_{i-1,1} = \lambda_{i-1}\lambda_i^{p^N} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n} \lambda_k^{T_{k-i}(p^N-1)}. \tag{16}$$

□

We have shown that, up to twisting and isomorphism, that any irreducible representation must be of the form given above. We give this a name.

Definition 5.3. The matrices $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y$ are in *standard form* if the x_i are in the form of Lemma 5.2 and y is in the form of Equation 8. We say ρ is in *standard form* if, under a chosen basis, the matrices $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y$ are in standard form.

6 Stable Subspaces

In this section we determine possible stable subspaces of a representation ρ. We show that if ρ is not irreducible then it must have a certain proper stable subspace; we name this $V_{p^{N-1}}$. Thus, to determine if ρ is irreducible, we only need to check if $V_{p^{N-1}}$ is a stable subspace of ρ. In this vein, let V_{p^k} be the subspace spanned by $\langle y \rangle \cdot (e_1 + e_{p^k+1} + \ldots + e_{(p^{N-k}-1)p^k+1})$. Note two things: first, V_{p^k} has dimension p^k; second, if V_{p^k} is a stable subspace of ρ then so is V_{p^j} for $j \geq k$.

We define the n-tuple $\Lambda_n(k) := (\lambda_{1,k}, \ldots, \lambda_{n,k})$ where k is considered mod p^N.

Lemma 6.1. *For any k_1, k_2 if $\Lambda_n(k_1) = \Lambda_n(k_2)$ then $\Lambda_n(k_1 + 1) = \Lambda_n(k_2 + 1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 we have that $\lambda_i \in S_p^\infty$ for all i and that $\lambda_{i,j+1} = \lambda_{i+1,j+1}\lambda_{i,j}$ for all j. Consider $\Lambda_n(k_1+1)$. It is clear to see that $\lambda_{n,k_1+1} = \lambda_{n,k_2+1}$ since x_n is central. Now, as our inductive step, choose h such that $h \leq n-1$ and assume that for all $i > h$ we have that $\lambda_{i,k_1+1} = \lambda_{i,k_2+1}$. Consider λ_{h,k_1+1}. By Equation 12

$$\lambda_{h,k_1+1} = \lambda_{h+1,k_1+1}\lambda_{h,k_1}. \tag{17}$$

Our inductive hypothesis holds for the first factor of the right hand side of Equation 17 and the initial assumption holds for the second factor. Thus we have that

$$\lambda_{h,k_1+1} = \lambda_{h+1,k_1+1}\lambda_{h,k_1} = \lambda_{h+1,k_2+1}\lambda_{h,k_2} = \lambda_{h,k_2+1}. \tag{18}$$

□

Since ρ is of dimension p^N, Lemma 6.1 and elementary counting tells us that if, for some β_*, j, and k, $\Lambda_n(k) = \Lambda_n(\beta_* p^j + k)$ where $p \nmid \beta_*$ then $\Lambda_n(k) = \Lambda_n(\beta p^j + k)$ for all β such that $0 \leq \beta \leq p^{N-j} - 1$. This can be seen since β_* is a unit in the additive group $\mathbb{Z}/p^{N-j}\mathbb{Z}$ and thus β_* generates all of $\mathbb{Z}/p^{N-j}\mathbb{Z}$. This argument gives us the following corollary of Lemma 6.1.

Corollary 6.2. *Let j be the minimal power such that $\Lambda_n(k) = \Lambda_n(\beta p^j + k)$ for all β such that $0 \leq \beta \leq p^{N-j} - 1$ and for any k. Then V_{p^j} is a stable subspace of ρ and $V_{p^{j-1}}$ is not stable.*

We define notation to this effect. Let $H \leq M_n$ and let $\mathcal{V}(\rho|_H)$ be the the minimal stable subspace V_{p^j}, as in Corollary 6.2, of $\rho|_H$. We say that $\mathcal{V}(\rho) = \mathcal{V}\big(\rho(M_n)\big)$.

We can, in fact, say more about this minimal subspace:

Corollary 6.3. *The number j is minimal such that $\Lambda_n(1) = \Lambda_n(p^j + 1)$ if and only if $\mathcal{V}(\rho) = V_{p^j}$.*

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\rho : M_n \to GL_{p^N}(\mathbb{C})$ be a representation. Then, for $k < n$ if $\mathcal{V}(\rho|_{M_k}) = V_{p^j}$ then $\mathcal{V}(\rho) = V_{p^\ell}$ for some ℓ such that $\ell \geq k$.*

We know that if V_{p^k} is ρ-stable then so is V_{p^j} for $j \geq k$. Thus, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 6.5. *Let ρ be a representation of M_n. The representation ρ is irreducible if and only if $V_{p^{N-1}}$ is not ρ-stable.*

Throughout this paper we use Corollary 6.5 to check if a representation ρ is irreducible. We use Corollary 6.2 to determine the number of isomorphic representations in standard form in one twist isoclass. Corollaries 6.3 and 6.4 are used in the sequel to this paper, but are included here since they follow from Lemma 6.1.

7 Isomorphic Representations in Standard Form

Since representations in the same twist isoclass are equivalent under both twisting and isomorphism, we determine when two representations in standard form are isomorphic. In this vein, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *Let ρ_1, ρ_2 be irreducible representations of M_n in standard form. Then ρ_1 and ρ_2 are in the same twist isoclass if and only if there is a 1-dimensional representation χ and a permutation matrix $P \in GL_{p^N}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\rho_1 = P\chi\rho_2 P^{-1}$.*

Since one direction is immediate, we prove the other direction with the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *For any prime p, let $\rho : M_n \to GL_{p^N}(\mathbb{C})$ be irreducible and let P be a matrix such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the matrix Px_iP^{-1} is diagonal and $PyP^{-1} = y$. Then $P = Ty^m$ for some $0 \leq m \leq p^N - 1$ and scalar T. Furthermore, up to twisting, Px_iP^{-1} and PyP^{-1} are in standard form.*

Proof. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We will show that since all elements of X are diagonal with 1-dimensional mutual eigenspaces, P must be a generalized permutation matrix. Then we show that since P commutes with y that P must be a power of y up to scalars. We then show that it follows that, up to twisting, $P\rho P^{-1}$ is in standard form.

Since all elements of X are diagonal and its mutual eigenspaces are 1-dimensional, $C_{GL_{p^N}(\mathbb{C})}(\langle X \rangle) = D$, where $C_G(H)$ is the centralizer of H in G and $D \leq GL_{p^N}(\mathbb{C})$ are the diagonal matrices. Since D is the centralizer of X and since X has 1-dimensional mutual eigenspaces D is also the centralizer of PXP^{-1}. Let $N_G(H)$ be the normalizer of H in G. It is well known that $N_{GL_{p^N}(\mathbb{C})}(D) = \mathcal{P}$ where $\mathcal{P}$ are the generalized permutation matrices; that is, matrices with precisely one non-zero entry in each row and column. And since the mutual eigenspaces of X are all 1-dimensional we have that $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

By definition of centralizer, it must be that P must be in the centralizer of y. Since y is a p^N-cycle we have that $P = Ty^m$ for some diagonal matrix T. But since P commutes with y and, of course, y^m commutes with y, T must as well. It follows that T must be a scalar matrix.

Conjugation of each x_i by P, which is the same as conjugating by y^ℓ, for each i and some ℓ, maps λ_i to $\lambda_{i,\ell+1}$. We can twist by some 1-dimensional representation χ such that $\lambda_{1,\ell+1} = 1$ and thus by, Proposition 8.2 (or directly by Lemma 4.4(v)), $\chi P\rho P^{-1}$ is in standard form.

□

This ends the proof of the proposition.

Remembering that representations in a twist isoclass are equivalent up to both twisting and isomorphism, we make the following definition.

Definition 7.3. Let ρ be irreducible and let x_i, y, for i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$, be in standard form as defined earlier in the section. A *shout* is a matrix P such that, up to twisting, PyP^{-1} and Px_iP^{-1} for $i = 1 \ldots n$ are in standard form. The representations ρ and $P\rho P^{-1}$ (note that Px_1P^{-1} may not be in standard form) are said to be equivalent under *shouting*.

We now need to count how many representations in standard form are in the same twist isoclass as ρ; that is, how many representations in standard form are twist-equivalent to ρ. We say that two representations that satisfy these conditions are equivalent under *twisting and shouting* [13]. If there are d twist-and-shout equivalent representations, and if we are just counting representations in standard form then we have overcounted by a factor of d. Thus, we must take this into account when counting twist isoclasses. In this vein, we now have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *Let S_ρ be the twist isoclass represented by ρ and let $\mathcal{V}(\rho|_{M_{n-1}}) = V_{p^m}$. Then there are p^m representations in standard form in S_ρ that are twist-and-shout equivalent to ρ.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 the entries of the x_i are determined by the λ_i. So to determine how many representations are twist-and-shout equivalent to ρ we must count the number of choices of λ_i such that $\rho_* = \chi P\rho P^{-1}$ such that ρ_* is in standard form for some 1-dimensional representation χ.

Let $x_i' = \chi P x_i P^{-1}$ for all $i \leq N$ and let λ_i' be the first diagonal entry of x_i'. By Lemma 7.2 we have that $y = p^\ell$ and thus for some $\ell \leq p^N$ we have that $\lambda_i' = \lambda_{i,\ell}$ for each i. Since ρ_* is in standard form it must be that we chose χ such that $\lambda_1' = 1$.

By the argument above, our choice of ℓ gives us, up to our choice of twist χ a representation that is twist-and-shout equivalent to ρ. It follows that the number of representations twist-and-shout equivalent to ρ is the size of the set $\{\Lambda_n'(\ell) := (\lambda_{2,\ell}, \ldots, \lambda_{n,\ell}) \mid \ell \leq p^N\}$. By Corollary 6.2 we have that the size of this set is p^m. □

Note two things: first, that when we reference this lemma, we say we take shouting into account; and second, since all entries of any x_i differ by products of λ_j such that $j > i$, this lemma implies that the depth of λ_2 has no effect on the number of twist-and-shout equivalent representations.

During the calculation of the p-local zeta functions that appear in this section, we break computation into various cases that depend on the depths of the λ_i that we choose. We note, without additional special mention, that each case is closed under shouting. For completeness, however, we have the following lemma which can be applied to the various cases to show that they are closed.

Lemma 7.5. *For some i and M let $s(\lambda_i) = M, s(\lambda_{i+1}), \ldots, s(\lambda_n) \leq M$. Then, for all j, $s(\lambda_{i,j}) \leq s(\lambda_i)$.*

Proof. Each $\lambda_{i,k} = \lambda_i \Lambda$ where Λ is some product of the roots of unity $\lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Also, for $\lambda_a, \lambda_b \in S_p^\infty$, we have that $s(\lambda_a \lambda_b) \leq \max\{s(\lambda_a), s(\lambda_b)\}$. The result follows immediately from these two facts. □

8 Irreducible Representations for Non-Exceptional Primes

We note that the expressions $T_k(i)$ contain a denominator of $k!$. By Lemma 5.2 the maximal value for k is $n-1$. We say a prime p is *exceptional* if $p \leq n$ and *non-exceptional* otherwise. For all non exceptional primes the p-local zeta function will behave uniformly.

In this section we study the conditions for irreducibility of a p^N-dimensional representation ρ such that $p \geq n$. If this is the case, then the $T_k(i)$ terms that appear in the calculation of the standard forms have denominators that are all units mod p. We show that such a representation ρ is irreducible precisely when at least one of the λ_i is a primitive p^Nth root of unity.

We must determine the possible values for the λ_i. By Equation 11 in Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 4.4(vi) we have the following lemma.

Lemma 8.1. *For non-exceptional primes $p \geq n$ we have, for all $i \leq n$, that $\lambda_i \in S_p^N$.*

Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y$ be matrices in standard form and let ρ be the corresponding representation. We will show that, for non-exceptional primes, ρ is irreducible precisely when one of the x_i has all p^Nth roots of unity on its diagonal. This implies that, at least one of the λ_i, where $i \neq 1$, is in fact a primitive p^Nth root of unity. This will be shown in two stages. First, if $s(\lambda_i) = N$ for some $i \neq 1$

and $s(\lambda_k) \leq N-1$ for all k such that $i+1 \leq k \leq n$ then x_{i-1} has all p^Nth roots of unity on its diagonal. Secondly, we show a stronger result that implies that if none of the λ_i are primitive p^Nth roots of unity, that is $s(\lambda_i) \leq N-1$ for all i, then there is a proper stable subspace. We use the full strength of the second lemma in the sequel to this paper.

We state the above as a proposition. The proof is a consequence of the two lemmas following it.

Proposition 8.2. *Let $p \geq n$ and ρ be a p^N-dimensional representation of M_n with corresponding matrices in standard form. Then ρ is irreducible if and only if there exists a λ_i such that $s(\lambda_i) = N$, where $2 \leq i \leq n$.*

Lemma 8.3. *If $s(\lambda_i) = N$ and $s(\lambda_k) \leq N-1$ for all k such that $i+1 \leq k \leq n$ then all $\lambda_{i-1,j}$, where $1 \leq j \leq p^N$, are distinct p^Nth roots of unity.*

Proof. Assume that $s(\lambda_i) = N$ and $s(\lambda_k) \leq N-1$ for $i+1 \leq k \leq n$. We can write these non-primitive λ_k, for each k, as powers of λ_i. Let $\lambda_k = \lambda_i^{\alpha_k p^{m_k}}$ such that $p \nmid \alpha_k$ and $m_k \geq 1$. For ease of display let $\alpha_i = 1$ and $m_i = 0$. Let $A_j = \sum_{k=i}^{n} \alpha_k p^{m_k} T_{k-i+1}(j-1)$. Then, by Lemma 5.2,

$$\lambda_{i-1,j} = \prod_{k=i-1}^{n} \lambda_k^{T_{k-i+1}(j-1)} = \lambda_{i-1}\lambda_i^{A_j}. \tag{19}$$

We show that each diagonal entry is distinct by dividing two of them, say $\lambda_{i-1,s+1}$ and $\lambda_{i-1,t+1}$ with $s \geq t$, and showing that if $\lambda_{i-1,s+1}/\lambda_{i-1,t+1} = 1$ then $s = t$.

Consider the equation

$$\frac{\lambda_{i-1,s+1}}{\lambda_{i-1,t+1}} = \lambda_i^{A_{s+1}-A_{t+1}} = 1. \tag{20}$$

Taking the logarithm base λ_i and working mod p^N:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \bmod p^N &= A_{s+1} - A_{t+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=i}^{n} \alpha_k p^{m_k} T_{k-i+1}(s) - \sum_{k=i}^{n} \alpha_k p^{m_k} T_{k-i+1}(t) \\ &= (s-t) + [\alpha_{i+1} p^{m_{i+1}} \big(T_2(s) - T_2(t)\big) + \dots \\ &\quad + \alpha_n p^{m_n} \big(T_{n-i+1}(s) - T_{n-i+1}(t)\big)]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By Lemma 4.4(ii) we have that $(s-t)$ is indeed a factor of each numerator of the right hand side of Equation 21. Therefore, remembering that $p \geq n$ and noting that all denominators are units mod p,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \bmod p^N &= A_{s+1} - A_{t+1} \\ &= (s-t)[1 + \alpha_{i+1} p^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\gamma_{i+1}}{2!} + \dots + \alpha_n p^{m_n} \frac{\gamma_n}{(n-i+1)!}] \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

for some γ_k. Since all $m_k \geq 1$ this implies that

$$1 + \alpha_{i+1} p^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\gamma_{i+1}}{2!} + \dots + \alpha_n p^{m_n} \frac{\gamma_n}{(n-i+1)!} \neq 0 \bmod p \tag{23}$$

and thus $s - t = 0 \mod p^N$ and we conclude that $s = t$. We can now say that each diagonal entry of x_{i-1} is distinct. □

We prove the necessity of having at least one λ_i a primitive p^N root of unity in the following lemma. The idea is to show that if no λ_i is a primitive p^Nth roots of unity then for $1 \leq \beta \leq p^k - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq p^{N-k}$, for some k, we have that $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_{i,\beta p^{N-k}+j}$ for any i. Therefore V_{p^k} is in fact a proper ρ-stable subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{p^N}$. For ρ to be irreducible this cannot be the case.

Lemma 8.4. *Let $\lambda_* \in S_p^N \backslash S_p^{N-1}$. For each $i \geq 2$ let $\lambda_i = \lambda_*^{\alpha_i p^{m_i}}$ where $p \nmid \alpha_i$ and $m_i \geq 1$. Also, let $m_* = min\{m_i\}$. Then, for any i, $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_{i,\beta p^{N-m_*}+j}$ for all $1 \leq \beta \leq p^{m_*} - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq p^{N-m_*}$.*

Proof. Consider the expression $L := \log_{\lambda_*}(\lambda_{i,\beta p^{n-m_*}+j+1})$ for some $0 \leq j \leq p^{n-m_*} - 1$ where $1 \leq \beta \leq p^{m_*}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L \mod p^N &= \alpha_i p^{m_i} + \alpha_{i+1} p^{m_{i+1}} T_1(\beta p^{N-m_*} + j) + \dots \\ &\quad + \alpha_n p^{m_n} T_{n-i}(\beta p^{N-m_*} + j) \\ &= \alpha_i p^{m_i} + \alpha_{i+1} p^{m_{i+1}} (\beta p^{N-m_*} + j) + \dots \\ &\quad + \alpha_k p^{m_k} \frac{(\beta p^{N-m_*} + j) \dots (\beta p^{N-m_*} + (j + k - i - 1))}{(k-i)!} + \dots \\ &\quad + \alpha_n p^{m_n} \frac{(\beta p^{N-m_*} + j) \dots (\beta p^{N-m_*} + (j + n - i - 1))}{(n-i)!} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where the term involving k is a typical term. By Corollary 4.5, since $m_* \leq m_i$ for all m_i it follows that, if each numerator was expanded, all terms are 0 mod p^N but the terms that have no factor of p^{N-m_*} in the expansion of each numerator; that is

$$\alpha_k p^{m_k} (\beta p^{N-m_*} + j) \dots (\beta p^{N-m_*} + j + k - 1) = \alpha_k p^{m_k} (j) \dots (j + k - 1) \mod p^N \tag{25}$$

for $i \leq k \leq n$. Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \alpha_i p^{m_i} + \alpha_{i+1} p^{m_{i+1}} T_1(j) + \dots + \alpha_n p^{m_n} T_{n-i}(j) \mod p^N \\ &= \log_{\lambda_*}(\lambda_{i,j+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

□

This completes the proof of the proposition.

9 Counting Non-Exceptional Twist-Isoclasses

Now that we have determined all irreducible representations up to twisting and isomorphism for the non-exceptional case, we count the number of twist isoclasses. We do this by counting the number of ρ that have a basis such that they are in standard form, and avoid overcounting by taking into account

representations that are isomorphic under twisting and shouting. We remind the reader that, by the previous proposition, one of the λ_i, for $i \geq 2$, must be a primitive p^Nth root of unity.

Regarding twist-and-shout equivalent representations, we have the following lemma that follows directly from Lemmas 7.4 and 8.4; notice the choices of p that are valid for this lemma.

Lemma 9.1. *For $p \geq n-1$ let ρ be an irreducible p^N-dimensional representation of M_n and let $\mathcal{V}(\rho|_{M_{n-1}}) = V_{p^k}$. Then there are p^k representations in standard form equivalent to ρ under twisting and shouting.*

We break the computation into two cases. First, assume $s(\lambda_k) = N$ for some k such that $3 \leq k \leq n$. In this case there are altogether $(1-p^{-(n-2)})p^{(n-2)N}$ choices for $\lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_n$. We can choose any p^Nth root of unity for λ_2 and therefore there are p^N choices for this. By Lemma 9.1 we must divide by p^N to take shouting into account.

Now assume $s(\lambda_2) = N$ and $s(\lambda_i) \leq N-1$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$. There are $(1-p^{-1})p^N$ choices for λ_2. If $\max\{s(\lambda_k)\} = \ell \neq 0$ for $3 \leq k \leq n$ then we have $(1-p^{-(n-2)})p^{(n-2)(N-\ell)}$ choices for these. By Lemma 9.1 we are overcounting by a factor of $p^{N-\ell}$. If $\max\{s(\lambda_k)\} = 0$ for $3 \leq k \leq n$ then all of the $\lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the p^0th root of unity, namely 1. Since we have no freedom to shout in this case, we are not overcounting.

Summing these two cases together we have, for $N \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{p^N} &= (1-p^{-(n-2)})p^{(n-2)N}p^N p^{-N} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{N}(1-p^{-1})p^N(1-p^{-(n-2)})p^{(n-2)(N-l)}p^{-(N-l)} \\ &\quad + (1-p^{-1})p^N \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{irr}_{M_n,p}(s) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} r_{p^N}p^{-Ns} &= 1 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{-(n-2)})p^{(n-2)N}p^N p^{-N}p^{-Ns} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1}(1-p^{-1})p^N(1-p^{-(n-2)})p^{(n-2)(N-\ell)}p^{-(N-\ell)}p^{-Ns} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{-1})p^N p^{-Ns} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$\tag{29}$$

Summing the geometric series we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{irr}_{M_n,p}(s) &= 1 + (1-p^{-(n-2)})\frac{p^{(n-2)-s}}{1-p^{(n-2)-s}} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1-p^{-1})(1-p^{-(n-2)})}{1-p^{3-n}}\left(\frac{p^{1-s}}{1-p^{(n-2)-s}} - \frac{p^{1-s}}{1-p^{1-s}}\right) \\ &\quad + (1-p^{-1})\frac{p^{1-s}}{1-p^{1-s}} \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and a routine calculation of Equation 30 yields that

$$\zeta^{irr}_{M_n,p}(s) = \frac{(1-p^{-s})^2}{(1-p^{(n-2)-s})(1-p^{1-s})}. \tag{31}$$

Note in particular that $\zeta^{irr}_{M_n,p}(s) \mid_{p\to p^{-1}} = p^{n-1}\zeta^{irr}_{M_n,p}(s)$ and thus this zeta function does indeed satisfy the correct functional equation in [15]. By Equation 31 we can also say that the p-local abscissa of convergence is

$$\alpha_{M_n,p} = n-2 \tag{32}$$

for $n \geq 3$. If $n = 2$ then a factor of $(1-p^{-s})$ in the numerator cancels with the factor $(1-p^{(n-2)s})$ in the denominator. It follows that

$$\alpha_{M_2,p} = 1. \tag{33}$$

References

[1] N. Avni. Arithmetic groups have rational representation growth. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(2):1009–1056, 2011.

[2] N. Avni, B. Klopsch, U. Onn, and C. Voll. On representation zeta functions of groups and a conjecture of Larsen-Lubotzky. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Ser. I, 348:363–367, 2010.

[3] N. Avni, B. Klopsh, U. Onn, and C. Voll. Arithmetic groups, base change and representation growth. preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.6092v3.

[4] L. Bartholdi and P. de la Harpe. Representation zeta functions of wreath products with finite groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 4(2):209–249, 2010.

[5] D. A. Craven. Lower bounds for representation growth. *J. Group Theory*, 13(6):873–890, 2010.

[6] S. Ezzat. Irreducible representations of a family of groups of maximal nilpotency class ii: some exceptional cases. Preprint.

[7] S. Ezzat. Counting irreducible representations of the Heisenberg group over the integers of a quadratic number field. *J. Alg.*, 397:609–624, 2014.

[8] R. E. Howe. Kirillov theory for compact p-adic groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 73(2):365–381, 1977.

[9] E. Hrushovski, B. Martin, and S. Rideau. Definable equivalence relations and zeta functions of groups. Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701011v2.

[10] A. Jaikin-Zapirain. Zeta functions of representations of compact p-adic analytic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(1):91–118 (electronic), 2006.

[11] A. Lubotzky and A.R. Magid. Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 58(336):xi+117, 1985.

[12] A. Lubotzky and B. Martin. Polynomial representation growth and the congruence subgroup problem. *Israel J. Math.*, 144:293–316, 2004.

[13] P. Medley and B. Russell. Twist and Shout, 1961. First performed by The Top Notes, *Twist and Shout/Always Late*.

[14] A. Stasinski and C. Voll. Representation zeta functions of nilpotent groups and generating functions for Weyl groups of type B. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 136(2):501–550, 2014.

[15] C. Voll. Functional equations for zeta functions of groups and rings. *Ann. of Math.*, 172(2):1181–1218, 2010.

[16] E. Witten. On quantum gauge theories in two dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 141(1):153–209, 1991.